Nehemia Gordon is becoming well-known for arguing that the name יהוה should be read with the vowels יְהוָה so that *Yehovah* is the real name for God. In order for his position to be true, the following issues must be satisfactorily treated.

1. How can a Jehovist justify the *patach* under the prefixed prepositions?

When the four attached prepositions are placed on the Name, it should display the same changes that theophoric names do, which behave predictably and consistently. The same happens with all nouns that begin with '(yod-sheva).

יְהוֹנְתָן	Jonathan	לִיהוֹנְתָן	to Jonathan
יְהוֹשָׁעַ	Joshua	לִיהוֹשָׁעַ	to Joshua
יְהוֹצָדָק	Jehozadak	לִיהוֹצְדָק	to Jehozadak
יְהוֹאֵל	Joel	לִיהוֹאֵל	to Joel
יְרוּשָׁלַםִּ	Jerusalem	לִירוּשָׁלַ <u>ִ</u> ם	to Jerusalem
יְהוּדָה	Judah	לִיהוּדָה	to Judah

If "Jehovah" were original, we would expect to see לִיהוְה "to Jehovah." Rather, we see לַיהוְה. Where does that *patach* come from?

אֲדֹנָי	the Lord	לַאדֹנְי	to the Lord
אֱלֹהִים	God	לֵאלהִים	to God
יְהוְה	YHVH	לַיהוָה	to YHVH

The patach is present on the prefixed prepositions because the vowels that appear on יהוה do not belong to the word. Consider the preposition מ, which is often shortened to with a strong dagesh in the following letter. יהוה behaves like a word that starts with a guttural (like א) rather than with a yod-sheva.

יְרוּשָׁלַם	Jerusalem	מִירוּשָׁלַם	from Jerusalem
יְהוֹשָׁעַ	Joshua	מִיהוֹשָׁעַ	from Joshua
יְהוּדָה	Judah	מִיהוּדָה	from Judah
יְהֹוָה	YHVH	מֵיְהוָה	from YHVH
אָדֹנְי	the Lord	מֵאֲדֹנְי	from the Lord
אֱלֹהִים	God	מֵאֱלֹהִים	from God

The name יהוה follows the vocalization of אֲלֹהִים and אֱלֹהִים, words that begin with א. It does not follow the vocalization of words that begin with יְ (yod-sheva). We should expect to find מִיהוָה if the real vowels of the Name are יָהוֹה.

In the case of every prefixed preposition, יהוה follows the vocalization of אָדֹנָי In no instance do we find following the vocalization of other words that begin with ' (yod-sheva).

2. How do we get from Yehovah to Yáhu and Yah?

Whereas vowels are routinely shortened at the beginning of words, we easily expect $Y\acute{a}hu$ to lose its full a vowel when the name is lengthened (as in when it is attached to the beginning of another word to create a theophoric name). Observe:

```
א לָקַח he took, received לָקַחְהֶּם you (pl) took, received איס word, thing, matter דְּבָרִים words, things, matters
```

It is, then, completely understandable that when יהו is attached to the beginning of a name, it will lose its first vowel. If we assume *Yáhu*, we can easily predict that the *a* will become *sheva*. Thus, *Yáhu* when attached would become *Yehu* as the accent is shifted to the second word in the combination. The interplay of *u* and *o* is known in Hebrew.

```
למות (infinitive construct) מות dying (infinitive absolute) מות death (absolute) מות death of (construct) מות to lodge, stay מות inn, hotel
```

Thus, we can easily expect *Yáhu* to become *Yeho* as a prefix.

However, the opposite is not the case. There is nothing that should make *Yeho* become *Yáhu* when it appears at the end of a name.

```
יְהוֹשֶׁעַ Yehoshua (Joshua) יְהוֹשֶׁעַ

יְהוֹשֶׁל Yeho'el (Joel) אֵלְּהוּ Eliyáhu (Elijah) אַלְּהוּ Yehonatan (Jonathan) יְהוֹנְחָן Yehotsadak (Jehozadak) אַדְקּיָהוּ Yehotsadak (Jehozadak) אַדְקּיָהוּ
```

The same is the question regarding יְהֹיְה Yah, as in הַּלְלוּ־יָה Hallelujah. Why would יְהוְה ever be shortened in the first place to יְהוְה Where does the a vowel come from?

All the forms are predicable from יְהְנֶה Yahveh. If the final syllable is lost for whatever reason, we would certainly expect Yahveh to become Yah (easily explained). The final vowel could drop out, too, which would produce the theoretical Yahv, which would convert to Yáhu in the same way that verbs can drop their final heh in some situations, which produce vocalic changes. Consider:

```
יִשְׁתַּחְוֶה he will bow down יִשְׁתַּחְוּ he bowed down [yishtachaveh] [vayishtáchu]
```

When *yishtachaveh* loses its final vowel, it becomes *yishtachv*, which resolves itself as *yishtáchu*. The same situation is created when *Yahveh* loses its final syllable. It becomes *Yahv*, which resolves itself as *Yáhu*. Again, all of the forms (*Yáhu*, *Yah* and *Yeho*) are explicable on the basis of *Yahveh*.

This is discussed by Gesenius in his grammar, \$24*d*, in which he says the following:

(b) When and without a vowel would stand at the end of the word after quiescent Šewâ, ... is changed sometimes into a toneless u (e.g. אָלהוֹ from tuhw).

This is the situation when *yishtachaveh* loses its final vowel. We end up with a *vav* sitting at the end of the word with a silent *sheva* ("quiescent š'wâ") and would theoretically look like יִשְׁתַּחְי yishtachv. That combination of the *sheva* before a vowelless vav resolves as יִשְׁתַּחוֹ yishtachu, just like the theoretical יִשְׁתַּחוֹ undergoes two changes: the shift of the first vowel from u to o and the resolution of the final vav into a vowel. It becomes הוֹה tóhu.

None of this can be explained, however, on the proposition that *Yehovah* is the original pronunciation of the Name.

3. What about the Ktiv-Qere of Adonai YHVH?

In his paper "The Pronunciation of the Name" (2003), Nehemia Gordon makes the following concession:

Another point worth noting is that in the Aleppo Codex, the most precise manuscript of the biblical text, the name YHVH gets the vowels Yehovih when it is juxtaposed to the word *Adonai*. It seems that the "i" (chiriq) in Yehovih is a reminder to the reader to read this word as Elohim (God), since reading it *Adonai* would result in *Adonai* twice in a row.

In this comment, which he doesn't seem to have thought out to its conclusion, he admits that the Name is read as *Adonai* and that having it follow the actual word *Adonai* would result in the expression *Adonai Adonai*.

If יהוה is to be read as Yehovah, we would have the following combinations:

אֲדֹנְי Adonai יְהוְה Yehovah יְהוְה אֱלֹהִים Yehovah Elohim אַדֹנִי יִהוָה Adonai Yehovah (this does not appear)

There would be no need to change the vowels at all if we are inteded to read it as *Yehovah*. However, if it is to be read as *Adonai* and if it underwent no alteration, we would have the following:

אַדֹנְי Adonai יְהוְה אַלֹהִים Adonai יְהוְה אֱלֹהִים Adonai Elohim אַדֹנִי יִהוָה Adonai Adonai (this is avoided)

To avoid the final case, the word יהוה is pointed either as יהוֹה to tell the reader to read it as Adonai Elohim. If Yehovah were the actual pronunciation, there is no sense in changing the vowel to chirik. We would simply read it as Adonai Yehovah, and there would be no need to change the vowel at all. It is the avoidance of reading Adonai Adonai, as Gordon confesses in his paper, that is effected by the change of the vowel. This is predicated on the premise that יהוה was read as Adonai.

Conclusion

Until Jehovists tackle these issues, their argument remains untenable. On the basis of the phenomena of the Hebrew language, the vowels on יהוה in the Bible are not the vowels of the name. All the forms of the name (Yáhu, Yah and Yeho) are predictable on Yahveh and all prepositions attached to the word behave as if they were being attached to אֲדֹנְי The switch to chirik in the final syllable of יהוה when it follows אֲדֹנְי is explicable only if the scribes were trying to avoid Adonai Adonai, which itself assumes that the Name was being read as Adonai.